PHYSICAL REVIEW E

STATISTICAL PHYSICS, PLASMAS, FLUIDS, AND RELATED INTERDISCIPLINARY TOPICS

THIRD SERIES, VOLUME 58, NUMBER 2 PART A AUGUST 1998

RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

The Rapid Communications section is intended for the accelerated publication of important new results. Since manuscripts submitted to this section are given priority treatment both in the editorial office and in production, authors should explain in their submittal letter why the work justifies this special handling. A Rapid Communication should be no longer than 4 printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. Page proofs are sent to authors.

Finite-size effects on critical diffusion and relaxation towards metastable equilibrium

W. Koch and V. Dohm

Institut fu¨r Theoretische Physik, Technische Hochschule Aachen, D-52056 Aachen, Germany (Received 6 February 1998)

We present an analytic study of finite-size effects on critical diffusion above and below T_c of threedimensional Ising-like systems whose order parameter is coupled to a conserved density. We also calculate the finite-size relaxation time that governs the critical order-parameter relaxation towards a metastable equilibrium state below T_c . Two universal dynamic amplitude ratios at T_c are predicted and quantitative predictions of dynamic finite-size scaling functions are given that can be tested by Monte Carlo simulations. $[S1063-651X(98)50708-8]$

PACS number(s): 64.60.Ht, 75.40.Gb, 75.40.Mg

The dissipative critical dynamics of *bulk* systems with a nonconserved order parameter is fairly well understood. Depending on whether the order parameter is governed by purely relaxational dynamics or whether it is coupled to a hydrodynamic (conserved) density, such systems belong to the universality classes of models *A* or C [1,2]. The fundamental dynamic quantities of these systems are the relaxation and diffusion times that diverge as the critical temperature T_c is approached.

For *finite* systems, these times are expected to become smooth and finite throughout the critical region and to depend sensitively on the geometry and boundary conditions. These finite-size effects are particularly large in Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of small systems. On a qualitative level, they can be interpreted on the basis of phenomenological finite-size scaling assumptions. For a more stringent analysis knowledge of the shape of universal finite-size scaling functions is necessary. So far there exist reliable theoretical predictions on finite-size dynamics in three dimensions only for two relaxation times τ_1 and τ_2 , which determines the longtime behavior of the order parameter and the square of the order parameter $[3,4]$. No analytic work exists, to the best of our knowledge, on the important universality class $[1]$ of diffusive finite-size behavior near T_c . This is of relevance, e.g., to magnetic systems with mobile impurities $[1]$, to binary alloys with an order-disorder transition $[5,6]$, to uniaxial antiferromagnets $[1]$, or to systems in which the order parameter is coupled to the conserved energy density $[1,2]$.

In this Rapid Communication we present a prediction of the finite-size scaling function for the critical diffusion time of three-dimensional systems above and below T_c . Furthermore, we shall present the analytic identification and quantitative calculation of a leading relaxation time that governs the critical order-parameter relaxation towards a *metastable* equilibrium state of finite systems below T_c . Our predictions contain no adjustable parameters other than two amplitudes of the bulk system.

We start from model C [2], i.e., from the relaxational and diffusive Langevin equations for the one-component orderparameter field $\varphi(\mathbf{x},t)$ and for the density $\rho(\mathbf{x},t) = \langle \rho \rangle$ $+m(\mathbf{x},t)$ in a finite volume *V*,

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} = -\Gamma_0 \frac{\delta H}{\delta \varphi(\mathbf{x},t)} + \Theta_{\varphi}(\mathbf{x},t),\tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial m(\mathbf{x},t)}{\partial t} = \lambda_0 \nabla^2 \frac{\partial H}{\partial m(\mathbf{x},t)} + \Theta_m(\mathbf{x},t),\tag{2}
$$

$$
H = \int_{V} d^{d}x \left[\frac{1}{2}\tau_{0}\varphi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\varphi)^{2} + \tilde{u}_{0}\varphi^{4} + \frac{1}{2}m^{2} + \gamma_{0}m\varphi^{2} - h_{0}m\right],
$$
\n(3)

where Θ_{φ} and Θ_m are Gaussian δ -correlated random forces. We consider an equilibrium ensemble near $T_c(\overline{\rho})$ at fixed

FIG. 1. Diffusion constant $D(\tilde{t}, L)/A_D^+$ for $L = 80\xi_0$ (solid line) vs \tilde{t} , and of the scaling function $f_D(x)$ [Eq. (12)] vs $x = \tilde{t}L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu}$ (solid line), with *L* in units of ξ_0 . The dashed lines represent the bulk diffusion constants $D^{\pm}(\tilde{t})/A_D^+$.

 $\bar{\rho} = V^{-1} \int_V d^d x \rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$. This corresponds to the experimental situation of keeping the conserved quantity $(e.g.,$ number of impurities) fixed when changing the reduced temperature $\tilde{t} = [T - T_c(\bar{\rho})]/T_c(\bar{\rho})$. The latter enters through τ_0 . Because of $\langle \rho \rangle = \overline{\rho}$ we have $\langle m \rangle = \overline{m} = 0$. Equations (1)–(3) describe the dynamics of relaxational and diffusive modes that is coupled through γ_0 . We are interested in the long-time behavior of the diffusive modes above, at, and below T_c , as well as in the order-parameter relaxation on an intermediate time scale below T_c . We shall begin with the diffusive modes. For simplicity and for the purpose of a comparison with MC simulations, we assume cubic geometry $V = L^d$, with periodic boundary conditions.

For the *bulk* system, the diffusion constants $D^{\pm}(\tilde{t})$ above and below T_c appear in the small- k limit of the long-time behavior of the correlation function

$$
C_n(k,\tilde{t},t) = V^{-1}\langle n_{\mathbf{k}}(t)n_{-\mathbf{k}}(0)\rangle \sim \exp[-D^{\pm}(\tilde{t})k^2t], \tag{4}
$$

where $n_{\bf k}(t) = m_{\bf k}(t) + c_n(k)\psi_{\bf k}(t)$ is an appropriate linear combination of $m_{\mathbf{k}}(t) = \int_{V} d^{d}x m(\mathbf{x}, t) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ and $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ $= \int_V d^dx \left[\varphi(\mathbf{x}, t) - \langle \varphi \rangle \right] e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$ with $c_n(k) = \tilde{c}_n k^2 + O(k^4).$ The coefficient c_n can be identified by linearizing Eqs. (1) – (3). Above T_c , $c_n=0$ because of $\langle \varphi \rangle = 0$. At T_c , the longtime behavior of C_n is nonexponential (power law) for the bulk system.

For the *finite* system, the coefficient $c_n(k)$ is modified [via the replacement $\langle \varphi \rangle \rightarrow M_0$ as defined in Eqs. (7) and (8) below] and the long-time behavior of C_n remains exponential;

$$
C_n(k,\tilde{t},L,t) \sim \exp[-\Omega_n(k,\tilde{t},L)t],
$$
 (5)

even in the nonhydrodynamic region at bulk T_c where the small-*k* approximation is no longer justified. As a conceptual complication there exists a smallest *nonzero* value k_{min}^2 $=4\pi^2/L^2$ of k^2 , which prevents us from performing the limit *k→*0 for the finite system. Therefore, we need to derive the finite-size scaling function for $\Omega_n(k,\tilde{t},L)$ at finite *k*. Nevertheless we may define an effective diffusion time τ_D $= \Omega_n (2 \pi L^{-1}, \tilde{t}, L)^{-1}$ or a diffusion constant $D = \Omega_n / k^2$ at $k = k_{min} = 2\pi/L$ of the finite system by

$$
D(\tilde{t},L) = (2\pi)^{-2} L^2 \Omega_n (2\pi L^{-1}, \tilde{t}, L), \tag{6}
$$

which interpolates smoothly between the bulk result $D(\tilde{t}, \infty) = D^{\pm}(\tilde{t})$ above and below T_c (Fig. 1).

In the spirit of finite-size theory $[3,7]$ we decompose $\varphi(\mathbf{x},t) = M_0 + \delta \varphi(\mathbf{x},t)$ with the zero-mode average

$$
M_0^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dM M^2 e^{-H_0} / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dM e^{-H_0}, \tag{7}
$$

where $H_0(M) = L^d(\frac{1}{2}\tau_0 M^2 + \tilde{u}_0 M^4)$ is the $k=0$ part of *H*, with $M = V^{-1} \int_V d^dx \varphi$. For the finite system, the quantity $M_0(\tau_0, L)$ is nonzero for all *T* and interpolates smoothly between $T>T_c$ and $T < T_c$. Linearization of Eqs. (1)–(3) with respect to $\delta \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ and $m_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ leads to

$$
c_n(k) = (w_0 \tilde{\gamma}_0)^{-1} \{ b_0^- - \left[(b_0^-)^2 + w_0 \tilde{\gamma}_0^2 k^2 \right]^{1/2} \},\qquad(8)
$$

$$
\Omega_n(k,\tilde{t},L) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 \{b_0^+ - \left[(b_0^-)^2 + w_0\tilde{\gamma}_0^2 k^2\right]^{1/2}\},\qquad(9)
$$

$$
b_0^{\pm}(k) = w_0(\tau_0 + 12\tilde{u}_0 M_0^2 + k^2) \pm k^2, \tag{10}
$$

with $w_0 = \Gamma_0 / \lambda_0$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_0 = 4 \gamma_0 M_0$.

An application of these unrenormalized expressions to the critical region requires us to turn to the renormalized theory. The strategy of the field-theoretic renormalization-group (RG) approach at $d=3$ dimensions is well established in bulk statics $[8]$ and dynamics $[9]$ and has been successfully applied recently to the model- A finite-size dynamics $[3]$. The details of its application to model *C* will be given elsewhere [10]. Here we only present the asymptotic finite-size scaling form

$$
\Omega_n(k,\tilde{t},L) = L^{-z} f_n(\tilde{t}L^{(1-\alpha)/\nu},kL) \tag{11}
$$

as derived from Eqs. (5) and $(8)–(10)$, with the dynamic critical exponent $z=2+\alpha/\nu$ [2]. The scaling function reads in three dimensions:

$$
f_n(x,\kappa) = A_n \tilde{\ell}^{\alpha/\nu} \{b_+ - [b_-^2 + w^* c^* \tilde{\ell}^{1/2} \kappa^2 \vartheta_2(\tilde{y})]^{1/2}\},
$$

$$
b_{\pm}(x,\kappa) = w^* [\tilde{\ell}^{\alpha/\nu} + \kappa^2] \pm \kappa^2,
$$

$$
\tilde{\ell}^{\alpha/\nu} (x)^{3/2} = (4 \pi \tilde{u}^*)^{1/2} {\{\tilde{y}(x) + 12 \vartheta_2 [\tilde{y}(x)]\}},
$$

$$
\tilde{y}(x) = (4 \pi \tilde{u}^*)^{-1/2} \tilde{\ell}^{\alpha} (x)^{(3/2) - (1 - \alpha)/\nu} \hat{x},
$$

$$
\vartheta_2(y) = \left(\int_0^\infty ds \ s^2 e^{-1/2ys^2 - s^4} \right) / \left(\int_0^\infty ds \ e^{-1/2ys^2 - s^4} \right),
$$

with $c^* = 16(\gamma^*)^2(4\pi/\tilde{u}^*)^{1/2}$ and $\hat{x} = x \xi_0^{-(1-\alpha)/\nu}$. This yields the scaling form $D(\tilde{t}, L) = L^{2-z} f_D(x)$ for the diffusion constant $[Eq. (6)]$ with

$$
R118
$$

$$
f_D(x) = (2\pi)^{-2} f_n(x, 2\pi).
$$
 (12)

The static parameters are [8] $\tilde{u}^* = u^* + (\gamma^*)^2/2$ and $(\gamma^*)^2$ $= \alpha [4 \nu B(u^*)]^{-1}$ with [11] $u^* = 0.0404$ and $B(u^*) = 0.502$ in three dimensions. For ν and α we take 0.6335 and 0.100 [12]. The dynamic parameter is $w^* = 1$ in one-loop order. The two nonuniversal bulk amplitudes $\xi_0(\overline{\rho})$ and A_n $= \frac{1}{2}A_D^+ \xi_0^z$ are defined by the asymptotic behavior $\ddot{\xi}$ $=\xi_0 \tilde{t}^{-\nu/(1-\alpha)}$ and $D^+(\tilde{t}) = A_D^+ \tilde{t}^{(z-2)\nu/(1-\alpha)}$ of the correlation length and diffusion constant at fixed $\overline{\rho}$ above T_c . The exponent $\nu/(1-\alpha)$ instead of ν is due to Fisher renormalization $[13]$.

The solid line in Fig. 1 shows $D(\tilde{t}, L)/A_D^+$ vs \tilde{t} for the example $L = 80\xi_0$. The same line represents $f_D(x)$ vs *x* (top scale). For comparison the bulk limits D^{\pm} (dashed lines) are also shown, with $A_D^{-}/A_D^{+} = 2^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}(1 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{*2}/u^{*})^{-1}$ $=0.55$. We expect the accuracy of these results to be of O (10%). These predictions can be tested by MC simulations, after adjusting $\xi_0(\overline{\rho})$ and A_D^+ in the bulk region $x \ge 1$ above T_c .

In addition to the finite-size effect on the diffusive modes there exists an interesting finite-size effect on the relaxational modes below T_c that has to our knowledge not been investigated analytically so far. It is well known that no spontaneous symmetry breaking can take place in finite systems below T_c because of ergodicity. For Ising-like systems, ergodicity implies a ''tunneling'' between metastable states of opposite orientation of the magnetization as observed in MC simulations $[14-16]$. On an intermediate time scale *t* $\langle t_r(L)$, however, the magnetization does not change sign and its magnitude relaxes towards a *finite* value that characterizes such a metastable state $[14]$. This relaxation process is important for large systems since the crossover time $t_x(L)$ is expected to grow with the size *L* as $\sim L^z$, where *z* is the dynamic critical exponent. This process occurs both in model *A* and model *C*; therefore, we confine ourselves to the simpler model *A* in the following. We stress that the relaxation process for $t \le t_r(L)$ is fundamentally different from the ultimate long-time behavior for $t \geq t_x(L)$ studied previously $\left[3,4\right]$.

Model *A* is defined by Eq. (1) where *H* is replaced by

$$
H_{\varphi} = \int_{V} d^{d}x \left[\frac{1}{2}r_{0}\varphi^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\varphi)^{2} + u_{0}\varphi^{4}\right].
$$
 (13)

We consider the time-dependent spatial average $M(t,L)$ $= L^{-d} \int_{V} d^d x \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)$. We are primarily interested in the long-time behavior of the equilibrium correlation function $\langle M(t,L)M(0,L)\rangle \equiv C(t,L)$ for $d=3$. For the bulk system, this behavior is

$$
C(t,\infty) \sim A_b^+ \exp(-t/\tau_b^+), \tag{14}
$$

$$
C(t, \infty) - M_{sp}^2 \sim A_b^- \exp(-t/\tau_b^-) \tag{15}
$$

above and below T_c , where $M_{sp} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \langle M(t, \infty) \rangle$ is the spontaneous order parameter and τ_b^{\pm} are the bulk relaxation times. For the finite system *above* T_c , the leading time dependence is still a single exponential $\sim c_1 e^{-t/\tau_1(L)}$ with a

FIG. 2. Relaxation times $\tau_i(\tilde{t}, L)/A_{\tau b}^+$ for $L = 80\tilde{a}$ (solid lines) vs \tilde{t} $= (T - T_c)/T_c$, and of their scaling functions $f_i(x)$ vs $x = \tilde{t}L^{1/\nu}$ (solid lines), with *L* in units of the lattice constant \tilde{a} ; dashed lines, bulk relaxation times $\tau_b^{\pm}(\tilde{t})/A_{\tau b}^+$.

relaxation time $\tau_1(L)$ whose finite-size scaling function is known both analytically $[3,4]$ and numerically $[3,16]$. In particular, $\lim_{L\to\infty} \tau_1(L) = \tau_b^+$.

For the finite system *below* T_c , however, the situation is more complicated and considerably less well explored. MC simulations $[14]$ and phenomenological considerations suggest that there should exist an *L* dependent generalization $\tau^{-}(L)$ of τ_b^- , with $\lim_{L\to\infty}\tau^{-}(L)=\tau_b^-$, which should describe (i) the exponential relaxation of $\langle M(t,L) \rangle$ towards a metastable finite value on an intermediate time scale *t* $\langle t,(L) \rangle$ before tunneling sets in, and (ii) a corresponding exponential decay of $C(t,L)$ on this time scale. The question arises whether and how this important relaxation time $\tau^{-}(L)$ can be identified analytically within models *A* and *C*. This question was left unanswered in the previous literature. In particular, neither $\tau_1(L)$ nor $\tau_2(L)$, as calculated previously [3], can be identified with $\tau(L)$. [Below T_c , τ_1 describes the decay of $\langle M(t,L) \rangle$ and of $C(t,L)$ towards *zero* for *t* $\geq t_x(L)$ due to tunneling processes, and τ_2 describes the decay of $\langle M(t)^2 \rangle$ and of $\langle M(t)^2 M(0)^2 \rangle$ towards $\langle M^2 \rangle_{eq}$ and $\langle M^2 \rangle^2_{eq}$, respectively, for $t \ge t_x(L)$. In the following we establish an analytic identification of $\tau^{-}(L)$ and present a quantitative prediction for its finite-size scaling behavior.

To elucidate the main features we first neglect the inhomogeneous fluctuations $\sigma(\mathbf{x},t) = \varphi(\mathbf{x},t) - M(t)$. Then Eq. ~1! is equivalent to the Fokker-Planck equation $\partial P(M,t)/\partial t = -\mathcal{L}_0 P(M,t)$ for the probability distribution *P*(*M*,*t*) with the operator

$$
\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{\Gamma_0}{L^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial M} \left(\frac{dH_0(M)}{dM} + \frac{\partial}{\partial M} \right), \tag{16}
$$

where $H_0(M) = L^d(\frac{1}{2}r_0M^2 + u_0M^4)$. It is well known [17] that $C(t,L)$ is determined by the eigenvalues ϵ_k and eigenfunctions $\phi_k(M)$ of \mathcal{L}_0 according to

$$
C(t,L) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(L) \exp[-t/\tau_k(L)], \quad t > 0,
$$
 (17)

with $c_k(L) = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dM M \phi_k(M)\right]^2$ and $\tau_k(L) = \epsilon_k^{-1}$, $\epsilon_0 = 0$ $\leq \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \dots$. By symmetry, $c_k=0$ for even values of *k*. Below T_c , $\tau_1(L)$ diverges in the bulk limit and $\lim_{L\to\infty} c_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} = M_{sp}^2$ becomes time independent, thus an analysis of the $k=3$ term in Eq. (17) becomes indispensable. From the spectrum of \mathcal{L}_0 [17] we find a degeneracy for *k* $=$ 3 and $k=$ 5 in the bulk limit for r_0 < 0. This requires us to take the $k=5$ term into account as well. We have found, however, that the coefficient c_5 vanishes in the bulk limit below T_c whereas c_3 remains finite. For finite *L* near T_c , τ_5 is well separated from τ_3 , as shown below. Thus it suffices to describe the time dependence of $C(t,L)$ on intermediate time scales $t \sim O[\tau^{-1}(L)]$ and $O[\tau^{-1}(L)] < t < O[\tau_{1}(L)]$ as well as on the long-time scale $t \ge \tau_1(L)$ as

$$
C(t,L) \sim c_1(L)e^{-t/\tau_1(L)} + c_3(L)e^{-t/\tau_3(L)}, \quad (18)
$$

where $c_1(\infty) = M_{sp}^2$ and $c_3(\infty) = A_b^-$ below T_c . In particular we arrive at the desired identification

$$
\tau^{-}(L) \equiv \tau_{3}(L), \quad \lim_{L \to \infty} \tau_{3}(L) = \tau_{b}^{-}.
$$
 (19)

We conclude that, although $\tau_3(L)$ represents only a subleading relaxation time above T_c , $\tau_3(L)$ *governs the leading time dependence of* $C(t,L)$ *of large finite systems below* T_c $(Fig. 2).$

These results also yield the key to the interpretation of $\tau_3(L)$ as the relaxation time governing the approach of the *nonequilibrium* quantity $\langle M(t,L) \rangle$ towards a *metastable* finite value before $M(t,L)$ starts to change sign. This interpretation is based on the fact $[18]$ that the leading relaxation times of $\langle M(t,L) \rangle$ are determined by the same eigenvalues of \mathcal{L}_0 as the long-time behavior of the equilibrium correlation function *C*, i.e.,

$$
\langle M(t,L) \rangle \sim \tilde{c}_1(L) e^{-t/\tau_1(L)} + \tilde{c}_3(L) e^{-t/\tau_3(L)}.
$$
 (20)

The basic difference between Eqs. (20) and (18) is that the coefficients \tilde{c}_k depend on the initial (nonequilibrium) state.

We proceed by presenting the results of a quantitative calculation of $\tau_3(L)$ and $\tau_5(L)$, including the effect of the inhomogeneous fluctuations $\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ to one-loop order. This calculation is parallel to that performed previously $\lceil 3 \rceil$ and is expected to be as reliable as the previous results $\lceil 3 \rceil$. It is based on the Fokker-Planck equation $\partial P(M,t)/\partial t$ $= -\mathcal{L}_1 P(M,t)$, where \mathcal{L}_1 has the same structure as \mathcal{L}_0 [Eq. (16)] but with r_{0} , u_{0} , Γ_{0} replaced by (positive) effective parameters r_0^{eff} , u_0^{eff} , Γ_0^{eff} [3,7,19]. In terms of the eigenvalues $\mu_3(\kappa)$ and $\mu_5(\kappa)$ of the equivalent Schrödinger equation [17] we determine the relaxation times τ_3 and τ_5 as

$$
\tau_i = (2\Gamma_0^{eff})^{-1} L^{d/2} (u_0^{eff})^{-1/2} \mu_i(\kappa), \qquad (21)
$$

with $\kappa = \frac{1}{2} r_0^{eff} L^{d/2} (u_0^{eff})^{-1/2}$. In the asymptotic region the field-theoretic RG approach at $d=3$ [3, 7–9] yields the finite-size scaling form $\tau_i = L^z f_i(x)$, $i = 3.5$, with the scaling variable $x = \tilde{t} L^{1/\nu}$, $\tilde{t} = (T - T_c)/T_c$. The analytic expressions for $f_i(x)$ are analogous to those given previously [3] and will be given elsewhere [10]. At T_c we predict the universal ratios $\tau_1 / \tau_3 = 8.5$ and $\tau_3 / \tau_5 = 2.3$.

The results are shown in Fig. 2. For an application to the Ising model we have taken $\xi_0 / \tilde{a} = 0.495$ [12], where \tilde{a} is the lattice spacing. The relaxation times τ_i in Fig. 2 are normalized to the bulk amplitude A_{rb}^+ of $\tau_b^+ = A_{rb}^+ \tilde{t}^{-\nu z}$, $z = 2.04$ (dashed line above T_c). Below T_c , our theory yields the expected $\lceil 20.21 \rceil$ exponential decay $\lceil \text{Eq.} (15) \rceil$ for the $d=3$ bulk system, in disagreement with Ref. [22]. The dashed line below T_c represents the bulk relaxation time $\tau_b^- = A_{rb}^- |\tilde{t}|^{-\nu z}$ with $A_{\tau b}^{-}/A_{\tau b}^{+} = 2^{-\nu z} (1 + \frac{9}{4}u^*)/(1 + 18u^*) = 0.26$ in three dimensions. Unlike for τ_1 and τ_2 [3,15,16], no MC data are presently available for τ_3 .

In summary we have presented quantitative predictions for the finite-size effects on critical diffusion and orderparameter relaxation towards metastable equilibrium in three-dimensional systems near T_c . It would be interesting to test the predicted universal ratios τ_1 / τ_3 , τ_3 / τ_5 and the finite-size scaling functions $f_D(x)$ and $f_i(x)$ (Figs. 1 and 2) by MC simulations. This appears to be within reach of present simulation techniques $[23]$.

Support by Sonderforschungsbereich 341 der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft and by NASA is acknowledged.

- [1] P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 435 (1977).
- [2] B. I. Halperin, P. C. Hohenberg, and S. K. Ma, Phys. Rev. B 10, 139 $(1974).$
- [3] W. Koch, V. Dohm, and D. Stauffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1789 (1996).
- [4] Y. Y. Goldschmidt, Nucl. Phys. B 280, 340 (1987); 285, 519 (1987); J. C. Niel and J. Zinn-Justin, *ibid.* **280**, 355 (1987); H. W. Diehl, Z. Phys. B 66, 211 (1987).
- [5] V. Dohm, in *Physik der Legierungen*, Ferienkurs XIII (KFA, Jülich, 1979).
- [6] E. Eisenriegler and B. Schaub, Z. Phys. B 39, 65 (1980).
- [7] A. Esser, V. Dohm, and X. S. Chen, Physica A 222, 355 (1995); V. Dohm, Phys. Scr. **T49**, 46 (1993).
- [8] V. Dohm, Z. Phys. B 60, 61 (1985); R. Schloms and V. Dohm, Nucl. Phys. B 328, 639 (1989); Phys. Rev. B 42, 6142 (1990).
- [9] V. Dohm, Z. Phys. B 61, 193 (1985); Phys. Rev. B 44, 2697 (1991).
- $[10]$ W. Koch and V. Dohm (unpublished).
- [11] S. A. Larin, M. Mönnigmann, M. Strösser, and V. Dohm, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).
- $[12]$ A. J. Liu and M. E. Fisher, Physica A 156 , 35 (1989) .
- [13] M. E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. 176, 257 (1968).
- [14] E. Stoll, K. Binder, and T. Schneider, Phys. Rev. B 8, 3266 (1973).
- $[15]$ H. O. Heuer, J. Stat. Phys. **72**, 789 (1993) .
- $[16]$ S. Wansleben and D. P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B 43, 6006 (1991) .
- [17] H. Dekker and N. G. van Kampen, Phys. Lett. **73A**, 374 (1979).
- [18] See, e.g., H. Tomita, A. Ito, and H. Kidachi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 56, 786 (1976).
- [19] In Eqs. (7) and (11) of Ref. [3], Γ_0 should be replaced by Γ_0^{eff} $=\Gamma_0[1+144u_0^2M_0^2S_3(r_{0L})]^{-1}$ (see also Ref. [10]). This has a negligible effect on $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$.
- [20] D. A. Huse and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 35, 6841 (1987).
- [21] P. Grassberger and D. Stauffer, Physica A 232, 171 (1996).
- [22] H. Takano, H. Nakanishi, and S. Miyashita, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3716 $(1988).$
- [23] For recent MC data on model *C* dynamics, see P. Sen, S. Dasgupta, and D. Stauffer, Eur. Phys. J. **B1**, 107 (1998).